Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	en/	09/973,956	MINK ET AL.
	"' y	Examiner	Art Unit
		David L. Sorkin	1723
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) <u>David L. Sorkin</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Jeffrey A. Lindeman</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 September 2006		Time: <u>11:10 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	• •	d's representative)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: no discussed			
Claims discussed: 73			
Prior art documents discussed: not discussed			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
Mr. Lindeman authorize and examiner's amendment to delete "and other analytes including" to make the Markush group in closed language form in accordance with Ex parte Dotter			
Part III.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sedirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	tion. The e	examiner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief			
<b>.</b>			
In bh			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	.pplicant/A	pplicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)